

CUSR

DAPL

I imagine living in North Dakota and seeing your water contaminated by oil. I imagine all of the upset Natives because they've <sup>their</sup> land and water was destroyed for the pure profit of companies. It's outrageous that the Energy Transfer Partners, or ETP for short, are willing to risk lives, risk the environment and disrespect the Native's land. Many residents in North Dakota believe it should be built because it's safe, efficient, and cost effective, but it shouldn't be built because of the increased risk of contaminated water, the disruption of Native's, and the destruction of their lands.

Many North Dakota residents incorrectly believe that the technology used on the pipeline is safe and will not break, especially that. →

background  
mention  
Sioux

expand  
counterclaim evidence  
- they argue that it is  
safer than rail transport

It's coming from a "reliable" company. Though there may be a lower chance, the fact that there is a chance is dangerous. From wear and tear and human error, it will break eventually. In Alabama, in 2016 alone, there has been more than five pipeline leaks, risking the lives of the residents. For that reason, the butts should stop.

save questions  
for Hook - have a  
strong statement  
as your topic sentence

Now, imagine if the pipeline breaks. What would happen to North Dakota? This is another reason why we shouldn't build it because of the chain of events that would result from the pipeline leakage. Farmers in N.D. will have no water for crops, so eventually crops will die out, and farmers will migrate elsewhere. The residents of N.D. will have a shortage of drinkable water, which the government

→ will have to increase the price of water and lower the usage of water in homes. Eventually, the quality of life in ND, will be destroyed & people will move.

Not only the water & residents of N.D will be affected, but the Natives. In Cannonball, N.D, the ETP's location of building the pipeline, there are 90 tribes living in the area. In 1851, the Natives signed a treaty with the U.S government to not tamper with Native sacred land, burial sites, etc. what-so-ever. This treaty has been broken three times, and the Natives are scared it might happen again. The ETP clearly doesn't care for the Natives land, as they want to build the pipeline at a burial site. As they peacefully protest, multiple Natives have been arrested, abused, zip-tied even.



counterclaim - you own your own land + address the opposite side

→ It's insane how we treat the Natives. Because of racism, we treat them like they're not humans, capable of thinking or feeling emotion. We need to treat them with respect. We need to treat them like humans.

All in all, we should not build the Dakota Access Pipe. It is not reliable, not environmental friendly, and disrespectful to the Natives sacred land, and it breaks the treaty made with the Natives. If we build the pipeline, it could be a disaster for the future.

~~\_\_\_\_\_~~

~~\_\_\_\_\_~~

~~\_\_\_\_\_~~

~~\_\_\_\_\_~~  
~~\_\_\_\_\_~~

### DAPL

Imagine living in North Dakota & seeing your water contaminated by ~~water~~. I imagine all of the Natives <sup>or</sup> disrespected and upset that their land is being destroyed for the profit of other companies. It's outrageous that the Energy Transfer Partners, or ETP for short, are willing to risk lives & disrupt the Native's land for a profit. Many residents in North Dakota believe that the Dakota Access Pipeline should be built because it's safe, efficient, and cost-effective but it shouldn't be built because? <sup>identity</sup> <sup>reason</sup> <sub>(bad trms)</sub> <sub>in intro</sub>

add more evidence  
specific  
for <sup>used</sup> <sub>write</sub>

Many North Dakota residents incorrectly believe that the technology on the pipeline is safe and will not break. However, this isn't true because there's no such thing as "perfect technology". Though there might be a lower chance, just know there is still a chance of it

→

→ breaking. From <sup>wear</sup> & tear and human error, it will break eventually. Also, pipeline leaks are more common than what you think. In the state of Alabama, they suffered of 5 pipeline breaks in 2016. needs concluding sentence - don't end with evidence

this is closer to the topic - imagine  
start Now, imagine if it breaks. What would happen to North Dakota? Well, the water will be completely contaminated and not usable. This leads into a chain of events. Farmers in North Dakota have no water to water crops, so eventually crops will die out, and farmers will migrate elsewhere. The residents of North Dakota will have a shortage of drinkable water, which the government will have to increase the price of water and limit the usage of water in homes. Eventually, people would be upset or hurt, and possibly move.

